

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-37065 of 2017

Date of Decision: November 14 2017

Simran @ Seema and Others.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another.....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Amit Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.
Ms. Harpreet Kaur, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana
Mr. Vikas Kumar ,Advocate for respondent No.2

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J.

Petitioners have filed the present petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for quashing of FIR No.242 dated 29.3.2016 under Sections 420,494,495,506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC' for short) registered at Police Station Thanesar City, District Kurukshetra (Haryana) (Annexure P1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 7.7.2017 (Annexure P2) .

Vide order dated 4.10.2017, a direction was given to the trial Court to record the statements of the parties and submit a report regarding the genuineness of the compromise effected between the parties and also to intimate whether any accused is proclaimed offender.

In pursuance thereof, the trial Court has submitted a report

dated 24.10.2017 (forwarded by the District and Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra on 24.10.2017), after recording the statements of the parties. The trial Court has submitted that the complainant-Karan and accused- Seema @ Simran, Jasmer and Raj Rani have appeared along with their respective counsel, who had identified them and got their statements recorded acknowledging that the compromise had been effected voluntarily, without any coercion or any undue influence. The trial Court has further submitted that, as per the statement of Head Constable-Laxman Singh, none of them is proclaimed offender.

Perusal of allegations in the FIR reveals that the present case squarely falls in the category of cases that can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 of the Code. Keeping in view authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court of India in “**Gian Singh vs State of Punjab and another**”, **2012(4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 543** and in the light of facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that criminal proceedings are put to an end.

As per the Full Bench judgement of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, High Court has power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to allow the compounding of non-compoundable offence and quash the prosecution where the High Court felt that the same was required to prevent the abuse of the process of any Court or to otherwise secure the ends of justice. This

power of quashing is not confined to matrimonial disputes alone.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court in **B.S.Joshi and others v. State of Haryana**, 2003(4) SCC 675 has observed that it becomes the duty of the Court to encourage genuine settlements of matrimonial disputes.

Since the parties have arrived at a compromise and have decided to live in peace, no useful purpose would be served in allowing the criminal proceedings to continue.

Accordingly, this petition is allowed. FIR No.242 dated 29.3.2016 under Sections 420,494,495,506 IPC registered at Police Station Thanesar City, District Kurukshetra (Haryana) (Annexure P1) and all the consequential proceedings, arising therefrom, are ordered to be quashed.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

November 14, 2017
arya

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No