

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No.M-37059 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : October 03, 2017**

Kajal and another

... Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

Present: Petitioners in person with
Mr. Vinod Bhardwaj, Advocate.

SURINDER GUPTA, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for petitioners submits that petitioners have filed this petition seeking protection of their life and liberty alleging that petitioner No.1-Kajal, who is major, has married petitioner No.2-Shubham, who is below 21 years of age (though mentioned as 21 years old in the head note of the petition), against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 6, who are parents and brother of petitioner No. 1. Apprehending danger to their lives and liberty, they moved representation dated 28.09.2017 (Annexure P-6) to Superintendent of Police, Karnal. He confines the relief claimed in this petition for direction to respondent No.2 to look into the representation of petitioners and take appropriate action in accordance with law.

In view of the submission of learned counsel for the petitioners, but without expressing any opinion on the validity of marriage of petitioners, petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Karnal to look into the representation dated 28.09.2017 (Annexure P-6) and take appropriate action in accordance with law, on receipt of copy of

this order along with representation in his office.

However, it is made clear that this order will have no effect on the validity of alleged marriage of the petitioners or on any other civil or criminal proceedings instituted or intended against them under any law including the provisions of Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006.

(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE

October 03, 2017.
Sachin M.

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No