

**IOIN-CR No.5 of 1999 and
IOIN-CR No.6 of 1999**

National Insurance Co. Ltd. Vs. Murari Lal etc.

Present: Through Registry.

These petitions have been put up for hearing by the Registry in view of the fact that in the order of this Court dated 16.11.2016, it has been stated that the civil revision petition (in each case), is allowed in the same terms as FAO No.2779 of 1988, whereas actually the FAO in terms of which the revision petitions were allowed, is FAO No.2779 of 1998.

It is seen from the file of the aforesaid FAO which has also been sent along with, that indeed the judgment passed by this Court on 16.11.2016, was so passed in FAO No.2779 of 1998.

Consequently, it is directed that the order of this Court dated 16.11.2016, passed in these petitions, i.e. Civil Revision No.5 of 1999 and Civil Revision No.6 of 1999, be corrected to read as follows:-

“In view of the order of even date passed in FAO No.2779 of 1998, this civil revision petition is allowed in the same terms.”

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of the connected case.

March 18, 2017
Ramand. Singh/D.Bansal

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE