

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CrI. Misc. No. M-36981 of 2017
Date of Decision: October 06, 2017

Arun Kumar

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Jagjit Gill, Advocate
for the petitioner

-. -

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of State of Punjab. Considering the nature of the order that is proposed to be passed there is no requirement to call upon respondents No. 7 to 9 to put in appearance.

It is the case of the petitioner that his life and liberty is under threat on account of the fact that one Rohini Prajapati has left her parental home to live with the petitioner as her parents were arranging her marriage against her wishes.

Be that as it may, without commenting on the merits of this case, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to take appropriate action in accordance with law on representation dated 12.09.2017 (Annexure P-4).

October 06, 2017
reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No