

**101 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.36950 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : October 04, 2017**

Sukhwinder Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. S.S. Ranghi, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.72, dated 19.08.2011, under Sections 420, 467, 465 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Meharban, District Ludhiana.

This is second petition for grant of anticipatory bail. The first petition i.e. CRM-M No.22530 of 2014 was dismissed by this Court vide order dated 28.10.2014 by giving detailed reasons.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that now there is change of circumstances. Two beneficiaries have been granted anticipatory bail and that challan has been presented. Therefore, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.

A perusal of file shows shocking facts. The previous anticipatory bail of the petitioner was dismissed on 28.10.2014. The petitioner was stated to be attesting witness to the unregistered forged Will of an NRI. Though, the petitioner is stated to be an employee of SGPC, but

the police did not arrest him for three years. Now, the P.O. proceedings have been initiated against the petitioner. He is going to be declared a proclaimed offender.

It appears that due to the fact that previously Akali Dal was governing in the State of Punjab, the petitioner being the employee of SGPC, was not arrested by the police for complete three years and had no apprehension of any arrest from the police. Now, after three years when the Government in State of Punjab has changed and the petitioner is going to be declared as proclaimed offender, he has come back to this Court seeking anticipatory bail. No further ground for anticipatory bail is made out.

Hence, the present petition is dismissed. The petitioner is directed to surrender before the lower court within three days.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

October 04, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No