

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-36824 OF 2017
DATE OF DECISION : 16th NOVEMBER, 2017

Guriqbal Singh alias Suny Dhaliwal & others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Sr. D.A.G. Punjab.

Mr. G. S. Rawat, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.168 dated 08.08.2017 registered under Sections 307, 160, 148, 149 & 212 IPC and Section 25, 27/54/59 of Arms Act at Police Station Division No.7, District Jalandhar, in view of compromise.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Learned counsel appearing for complainant submits that compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

This Court had made order dated 29.09.2017 asking for recording of statement in order to find out the correctness and genuineness of the compromise said to have been arrived at between the parties.

Accordingly, statements have been recorded by the trial Court and report has been received by this Court. Looking to the report it is seen that the compromise is voluntary and genuine. I have seen the offences registered against the petitioners. Looking to the nature of the offence I think the compromise/compounding should be allowed.

In view of the above, I make the following order:

ORDER

- (i) CRL. MISC. No.M-36824 OF 2017 is allowed.
- (ii) FIR No.168 dated 08.08.2017 registered under Sections 307, 160, 148, 149 & 212 IPC and Section 25, 27/54/59 of Arms Act at Police Station Division No.7, District Jalandhar, is quashed along with all consequential proceedings, qua the petitioners.

16th NOVEMBER, 2017
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>