

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

Criminal Misc. No. M-36804 of 2017

Date of Decision: 29.09.2017

Jodh Lal alias Jodha

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Shekher Dhawan.

Present: Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Shekher Dhawan, J.

Present petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for seeking pre-arrest bail to the petitioner(s) in case FIR No. 87 dated 23.5.2014, registered under Section 14 of the F Act, Section 3-34-20 of the I.P. Act, Sections 3 & 4 of the Official Secrets Act, 1923, Sections 18, 21 & 22 of the Narcotic Drugs & Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959, sections 489-A, 489-B, 489-C, 414 & 411 IPC and 61/1/14 of the Punjab Excise Act, 1914 at Police Station Dhariwal, District Gurdaspur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner could not appear before the learned Court below as he was ill on the date of hearing and could not intimate about the said fact.

Having considered the fact that the petitioner was earlier released on bail and on the date fixed i.e. 19.9.2017, two prosecution witnesses, namely Vikramjeet Singh and Rajiv Kumar were present and petitioner failed to put in appearance without any intimation. As such, no

Deepak Kumar Bhargava
2017.09.29 16:29
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

case is made out for granting pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. Hence, present petition stands dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes that petitioner will appear before the Court below and move an application for bail. In case, the petitioner appear before the Court below and move an application for bail, the learned Court shall consider all these facts while deciding the application.

(Shekher Dhawan)
Judge

September 29, 2017
“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No