

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-37650 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 24.03.2017

Balraj

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

--Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. M.K. Taya, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. C.S. Bakshi, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Sumit Gupta, Advocate for the complainant

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J

This order shall dispose of the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C seeking the benefit of regular bail to the petitioner in case F.I.R. No.503 dated 14.5.2016 under sections 25, 54, 59 of Arms Act and section 307 I.P.C, registered at Police Station, City, Karnal.

Learned counsel for the parties have been heard.

FIR came to be registered on the statement of Dalip Kumar in relation to an occurrence dated 14.5.2016. Complainant asserted that marriage of his aunt's daughter namely Ritu was solemnized with one Sanjeev son of Zile Singh. Divorce proceedings had been initiated by Ritu. The present petitioner Balraj is stated to be the elder brother of Sanjeev and who had come to the shop of the complainant and asked him to persuade Ritu to withdraw divorce proceedings. At that stage it is alleged that the petitioner fired twice with an intention to kill. However, the shots allegedly missed the mark and hit the walls of room.

Petitioner was arrested on 4.7.2016.

It is a case of no injury. Investigation has been completed and the challan stands presented. Out of 16 prosecution witnesses cited 10 have been examined. Even the material prosecution witness i.e. the complainant Dalip Kumar has already been examined before the Trial Court.

Without making any observations on merits, present petition is allowed. Petitioner be enlarged on bail subject to satisfaction of Trial Court/Duty Magistrate, Karnal.

Petition disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

24.03.2017

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No