

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-37637-2016(O&M)

Date of decision : 20.02.2017

Gurbinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S. Pannu, DAG, Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Pursuant to the order dated 07.02.2017, the SSP, Sri Mukatsar Sahib, is present in Court and has expressed his regret with regard to the official who was present in the Court on the last date of hearing not having been able to assist the learned State counsel. He has stated that he would take measures to ensure that the same is not repeated in future.

As regards the present petition, learned State counsel, on instructions submits that the petitioner has since joined investigation and the receipt machines as also the allegedly embezzled amount, have been deposited by him and as such his custodial interrogation is presently not required.

Learned counsel for the petitioner however submits that actually the machines in question were not recovered from the petitioner but from the transport department and the money has also been deposited under

protest.

Without making any comment on the aforesaid, since this is only an application seeking anticipatory bail and the investigating agency itself has stated that it does not require the custodial interrogation of the petitioner presently, the interim order dated 21.10.2016 is made absolute, on the same terms and conditions.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

February 20, 2017.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No