

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-36719 OF 2017
DECIDED ON : 28.09.2017**

Vandana Rani and another

...Petitioners

versus

The State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. Hardial Singh Batth, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking a direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger from private respondents No.4 and 5.

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that the date of birth of petitioner No.1 is 04.07.1995 and that of petitioner No.2 is in the year 1997. Copies of Aadhaar Cards of both the petitioners are annexed as Annexures P-1 and P-2. They are stated to have solemnized their marriage on 24.09.2017. The marriage certificate and photographs in this regard are annexed as Annexures P-3 and P-4. It is further stated in the petition that the petitioners had also made a representation dated 25.09.2017 (Annexure P-5) to the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, but no action has so far been taken thereon.

Issue notice to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

At the asking of the Court, Ms. Seena Mand, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

Without expressing any opinion on the validity of the marriage and keeping in view the mandate of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of '**Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P. and another', JT 2006(6) SC 173**, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of the private respondents.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

SEPTEMBER 28, 2017
shalini

- | | |
|--------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned : | YES/NO |
| 2. Whether reportable : | YES/NO |