

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-36640-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : 06.11.2017

Mohit

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Anshuman Dalal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana,
assisted as ASI Harmander.

Mr. Surinder Gandhi, Advocate,
for the complainant.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short, 'the Cr.P.C.') seeking bail in FIR No.133 dated 05.08.2016, registered under Sections 302, 323, 427, 325 and 148 read with Section 149 of the Indian Penal Code, at P.S. Lakhna Majra, District Rohtak.

Learned counsel, inter alia, contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case. He is not named in the FIR. The petitioner has been attributed a brick-bat injury whereas, the injuries described as fatal have been inflicted by axe.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, on instructions submits that the petitioner along with the co-accused inflicted as many as 12 injuries (as per the post-mortem report).

Heard.

In the instant case, a young man, aged about 24 years, has lost his life, allegedly on account multiple injuries inflicted on his person by the petitioner and his co-accused. The petitioner has been attributed specific role and injury.

In view of the above, no case for grant of bail is made out.

Dismissed.

However, nothing noticed hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion of the Court on the merits of the case.

06.11.2017

atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)

JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No