

**227 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.3658 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : October 04, 2017**

Subhash Kumar and others **Petitioners**

Versus

State of Punjab and others **Respondents**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. S.L. Chander Shekhar, Advocate for the petitioners.
Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.6.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioners, who are father-in-law, mother-in-law and other family members of respondent No.6-Meena Kumari, seek protection to their life and liberty, stating that they apprehend danger to their life. They are being threatened to be falsely implicated in a dowry case and other non-bailable offences.

It is stated that Deepak Kumar, son of petitioner Nos.1 and 2 was married with Meena Kumari-respondent No.6 on 01.12.2010. It appears that due to family discord, the couple started living separately and litigation is going between husband and wife.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that the petitioners are being repeatedly called to the different police stations on the complaint of respondent No.6-Meena Kumari and are being harassed. They seek protection from such harassment.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has also admitted that

a litigation is going on between respondent No.6-Meena Kumari and her

husband. Amritsar Police has taken the stand that no representation was received by them.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and going through the facts of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent Nos.1 to 5 that in case any complaint is received against the petitioners from respondent No.6-Meena Kumar or her relations, the said complaint shall be scrutinized thoroughly in the background of the matter and the police will first take the decision whether the presence of the present petitioners are required for the purpose of inquiry and if their presence is required, they be called/summoned to the police station only by way of issuing notice under Section 160 Cr.P.C., specifying the date and time on which their presence is required.

As such, the present petition is disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

October 04, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No