

**CM Nos. 12440-CWP and 12442-CWP of 2017 in/and
CWP No. 12828 of 2007**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM Nos. 12440-CWP and 12442-CWP of 2017 in/and
CWP No. 12828 of 2007
Date of Decision: 11.09.2017**

Hawa Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Neeraj Kuimar, Advocate,
for the applicant-petitioner.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

CM No.12440-CWP of 2017

By this application, the petitioner seeks an amendment in the memo of parties, to the extent that his fathers' name has been wrongly shown as Nathu Ram, whereas actually it is Mata Din, as is also reflected in the photocopy of his Aadhar Card produced in Court today by learned counsel.

Though in the experience certificate annexed with the petition as Annexure P-1, the fathers' name is shown as Matu Ram Saini, however, learned counsel submits that even that is wrongly stated because as a matter of fact his fathers' name is Mata Din which can also be seen from a communication coming from the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Rohtak, addressed to the petitioner, annexed as Annexure P-7 with the application seeking withdrawal of the accompanying writ petition, i.e. CM No. 12442-CWP-2017.

Nitin
2017.09.14 16:39
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

**CM Nos. 12440-CWP and 12442-CWP of 2017 in/and
CWP No. 12828 of 2007**

Notice in the application.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. R.K. Doon, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

A copy of the application has been handed over to him.

The application is allowed, subject to all just exceptions. However, if it is discovered by the respondents at any time that the applicant-petitioner has given his wrong parentage before this Court, appropriate action would obviously lie against the applicant-petitioner.

The amended memo of parties is taken on record.

C.M. No. 12442-CWP of 2017

By this application, the petitioner seeks to withdraw the accompanying petition in view of the fact that the respondents are stated to be “actively” considering the case of the petitioner for grant of the relief sought in the present petition.

In view of the above, the application is allowed.

CWP No. 12828 of 2007

In view of the order passed in CM No. 12442-CWP-2017, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn, with liberty to the petitioner to challenge any order passed adverse to him after such “active” consideration.

September 11, 2017
vcgarg/nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No.