

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No. M-37342 of 2016
Date of decision : 12.01.2017**

Ruddar Khan

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Saleem Ahmed, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana

Mr. Prashant Sethi, Advocate
for the complainant.

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, in a case arising out of F.I.R No. 131 of 23.09.2016, under Section 12 of POCSO Act, registered at Women Police Station Faridabad.

Learned counsel for the complainant opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner on the ground that the complainant is 15 years old at the time of occurrence.

Learned State counsel on the other hand on instruction from ASI Pawan Kumar submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation on 12.11.2016 and is no longer further required for custodial interrogation.

Keeping in view the fact that the present F.I.R seems to be a counter-blast of the F.I.R lodged by the petitioner on 21.09.2016 (P-2)

against the brother of the complainant,, order dated 19.10.2016 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions, as envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C

However, the petitioner is at liberty to file fresh bail bonds after presentation of the challan.

Accordingly, the petition stands disposed of.

12.01.2017
G Arora

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>No</i>