

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM NO. M-36463 OF 2017 (O&M)
DECIDED ON : 12.10.2017

Sunita

...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. V.P. Sangwan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikramjit Singh, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 88 dated 21.03.2017 under Sections 147, 149, 323, 452, 341, 506 IPC, later on added Sections 148, 325, 326, 307 IPC, registered at Police Station Tosham, District Bhiwani.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations against the petitioner, who is a lady, are general in nature and no specific injury has been attributed to her. She has been named along with 18 other accused. He further states that the petitioner herself received injury on her head in the alleged incident.

This Court, vide order dated 27.09.2017, had directed the petitioner to join investigation and in the event of her arrest, she was to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions

envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Ramesh Kumar, states that in pursuance to the order dated 27.09.2017 the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the order dated 27.09.2017, granting ad-interim bail to the petitioner, is hereby made absolute. However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

October 12, 2017
kanchan

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>No</i>