

201 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 3643 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 21.08.2017

Rajesh @ Raju

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Rajan Bhardwaj, Advocate for
Mr. Vishal Aggarwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Sheoran, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rahul Vats, Advocate for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is are seeking concession of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.51, dated 13.01.2017, registered under Section 436 IPC at Police Station Chandni Bagh, Panipat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance to the order dated 03.02.2017, the petitioner has already joined the investigation.

This fact is not disputed by learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Vinod Kumar and submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation.

Learned counsel for the complainant has, however, opposed the prayer.

In view of the above, the petition is disposed of. The order dated 03.02.2017 is made absolute. However, it is made clear that in case, the petitioner is found involved in any other case or misusing the concession of bail, it will be open to the respondent-complainant to seek cancellation of the bail.

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

21.08.2017

Hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No