

Shalini Bhatia
2017.11.09 17:01

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM NO. M-36412 OF 2017
DECIDED ON : 09.11.2017**

Rakesh and another

...Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. Vivek Khatri, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Malik, DAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.159 dated 15.05.2017 under Sections 148/149/323/324/506 IPC and Section 3 of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 (hereinafter referred to as "the SC/ST Act"), registered at Police Station Sadar Jind, District Jind.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that in the FIR, there is no allegation against the petitioners for commission of offence under the SC/ST Act. Even otherwise, only simple injuries were caused in the occurrence.

This Court, by the order dated 28.09.2017 had directed the petitioners to join investigation and in the event of their arrest, they were ordered to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Ravinder Singh, states that in pursuance to the order dated 28.09.2017 the petitioners have joined investigation and are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the order dated 28.09.2017, granting ad-interim bail to the petitioners, is hereby made absolute. However, the petitioners shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

NOVEMBER 09, 2017

shalini

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
2. Whether reportable : YES/NO