

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANAAT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M- 3633 of 2017(O&M)

Date of Decision: February 3 , 2017.

Deepak Viridi and another

..... PETITIONER (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... RESPONDENT (s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Petitioners in person with
Mr. Navraj Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

LISA GILL, J.

The present petition has been filed by the petitioners for protection of their life and liberty as they apprehend danger at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7 and other relatives because they have married each other on 31.01.2017 against the wishes of the said respondents. Both the petitioners are present in Court and on being asked submit that they have solemnized marriage between themselves out of their own free will and volition.

It is contended that the petitioners are major, their dates of birth being 11.05.1995 and 27.10.1991, respectively. True copies of Adhaar Card of petitioner No.1 and certificate of Matriculation Examination of petitioner No.2 have been appended with the petition as Annexures P4 and P5. A perusal of Adhaar Card (Annexure P4) shows that no specific date is mentioned and only the year of birth i.e., 1995 has been mentioned. A copy of the Marriage

Certificate and the photographs of the marriage are also placed on record as Annexures P1 and 2, respectively. It is submitted that the petitioners have submitted a representation dated 01.02.2017 (Annexure P7) to respondent No.2 i.e., the Senior Superintendent of Police, SBS Nagar for redressal of their grievance but no action has been taken thereon.

Notice of motion to the Advocate General, Punjab.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Shivali, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. A copy of the petition has been handed over to learned counsel for the State.

Without commenting upon the age of the petitioners or the validity of their marriage or the validity and genuineness of the certificates appended with the petition, respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, SBS Nagar is directed to decide the representation dated 01.02.2017 submitted by the petitioners and take appropriate action keeping in view the threat perception to the petitioners.

It is, however, made clear that this order shall have no effect on the validity of the alleged marriage of the petitioners and neither will any other civil or criminal proceedings initiated against the petitioners be barred due to this order.

Petition is disposed of accordingly.

(**LISA GILL**)
JUDGE

February 3, 2017.
'om'

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No