

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-37120-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: February 15, 2017.

Kavita Sharma

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Haryana

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri Amit Dubey, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri Surender Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Shri Sandep Suri, Advocate for the complainant.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Learned Counsel for the parties are ad-idem that the compromise has been arrived at in mediation which took place by the intervention of this Court by an order dated 19.12.2016. in that view of the matter, FIR No.0433 dated 18.6.2016, under Sections 34, 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC, registered at Police Station DLF, District Gurgaon, along with all consequential proceedings, is quashed by way of compounding/ compromise.

However, learned counsel for the complainant submits that if the terms of the compromise are not adhered to, the company be given liberty to revive the complaint. I find merit in the statement. Hence, it is made clear that the report of the mediation and the compromise shall be subject to the strict compliance of the compromise and the terms and conditioned mentioned therein and any failure on the part of either of the parties to the compromise, would lead to revival of the proceedings at the

option of either of the parties.

Disposed of with aforesaid liberty.

February 15, 2017.
kadyan

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No