

CRM-M-36244-2017

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-36244-2017

Date of Decision: 03.10.2017

Mahinder Singh alias Mahinder Singh Anand

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr.P.S.Ahluwalia, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ayush Sarna, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ranjan Lakhanpal and Mr. Gurpreet Singh Bhasin,
Advocates for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner-Mahinder Singh alias Mahinder Singh Anand has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.114 dated 22.05.2017, registered at Police Station Sadar Patiala, District Patiala, under Section 306 of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion has been issued. Learned State counsel has appeared on behalf of respondent-State and complainant has also appeared through his counsel. They contested the instant petition.

CRM-M-36244-2017

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that FIR, in the present case, has been registered on the statement of Gurtej Pratap Singh Chawla, who stated that his father, Taranjit Singh Chawla, committed suicide and relied upon the suicide note also.

As per the allegation against the petitioner, he filed a civil suit against the deceased and his wife for stopping them to forcibly evict him from the rented premises.

Learned counsel for the petitioner stated that an injunction order has been passed by the court in favour of the petitioner and this fact, itself, does not constitute abetment to commit suicide. He further argued that allegation regarding non-refund of amount is against other co-accused.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I find that the petitioner has been in custody since 11.06.2017; he is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as he is in judicial custody and trial of the case will take a long time, therefore, no useful purpose will be served by keeping him in custody till the final disposal of the case. Therefore, finding merit in the present petition, the same is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the

CRM-M-36244-2017

like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

03.10.2017

parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No