

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 36986 of 2016(O&M)

Date of decision: 5.4.2017

Satish

....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present: Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Malik, DAG, Haryana.

REKHA MITTAL, J.(Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of regular bail in FIR No.117 dated 04.05.2016 registered with Police Station Kunjpura, District Karnal for offence punishable under Sections 120-B, 506 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (in short 'IPC') and 6 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is in custody since 04.05.2016. It is further submitted that the prosecutrix was examined before the Court but she has not supported the prosecution and was declared hostile at the request of Public Prosecutor for the State. It is further submitted that the prosecutrix has not even alleged anything in her statement against the main accused namely Ravinder.

Counsel for the State concedes to the position that the prosecutrix has not supported the prosecution. However, it has been submitted that the case is likely to be disposed of shortly.

In view of the above, without commenting upon merits of the case, the petitioner shall be released on bail subject to furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court. However, he shall abide by the following conditions:-

- (i) he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court;
- (ii) he shall not leave India without previous permission of the Court.

APRIL 5, 2017

‘D. Gulati’

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	yes/no
Whether reportable	:	yes/no