

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

204

CRL. MISC. No.M-36925 OF 2016 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 8th FEBRUARY, 2017

Manoj Kumar

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. T.P.S. Teji, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Arun Kumar Singal, Advocate for the complainant.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.251 dated 04.05.2016 registered under Sections 324, 506, 307 read with Section 34 IPC and Section 25/54/59 of Arms Act at Police Station City Sonipat, District Sonipat.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

The petitioner was granted interim bail by this court vide order dated 18.10.2016.

Learned counsel for the complainant vehemently opposes the petition for bail by submitting that after obtaining order dated 18.10.2016 the petitioner remained absent before the trial Court and the trial Court has already issued bailable warrants on 16.10.2016 for the arrest of the petitioner.

Be that as it may, looking to the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation, interim order dated 18.10.2016 is made absolute.

It is made clear that the trial Judge is free to secure attendance of the petitioner by issuingailable/non-ailable warrants in case the petitioner does not appear before the trial Court.

Disposed of accordingly.

8th February, 2017
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No.