

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.111

CRM-M No.3607 of 2017

Date of decision: 03.02.2017

Anchal Verma and another

....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Vinod Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioners.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have married each other against the wishes of the parents of petitioner No.1 and on account of this act on their part, they are under threat of being eliminated at the hands of respondents No.4 and 5. In view of the above, seeking protection, a representation dated 31.01.2017 (Annexure P-5) was made on behalf of the petitioners to the Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar, but to no avail.

In the above circumstances, the petitioners have approached this Court through the present petition.

Without expressing any opinion on merits of the matter, particularly the validity of the alleged marriage, the Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar (respondent No.2) is directed to forthwith consider the aforesaid representation dated 31.01.2017 (Annexure P-5) made on behalf of the petitioners and thereafter take

appropriate action on the same as is deemed fit in accordance with law to ensure the protection of life and liberty of the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

February 03, 2017
Jyoti 1

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No