

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.36826 of 2016

Date of Decision: 08.02.2017

Gurwinder Singh and another

. . . Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present: None for the petitioners.

Ms. Simsi Dhir Malhotra, DAG, Punjab.

Tejinder Singh Dhindsa, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying for issuance of directions to the official respondents to ensure the protection of life and liberty of the petitioners as they apprehend a threat at the hands of private respondents on account of having entered into matrimony against the wishes of private respondents.

Even though the learned counsel for the petitioners has not come present, yet, learned State counsel on instructions, submits that after issuance of notice, statements of both the petitioners as also respondent Nos. 5 to 7 have been recorded who happen to be mother as also brothers-in-law of the petitioner No.2.

CRM-M No.36826 of 2016

Learned State counsel further submits that as per statements recorded, both the petitioners have stated that they do not have any threat of their life and liberty. The private respondents have not opposed marital relation between the petitioners.

Under such circumstances, no further directions are required to be issued and the present petition stands disposed of having been rendered infructuous.

[TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA]
JUDGE

08.02.2017
sachin

Whether Speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No