

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No.M-35962 of 2017
Date of Decision: 03.10.2017

Subhash & another

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present:- Mr. S.S. Dinarpur, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 is for grant of regular bail to the petitioners in case FIR No.309 dated 17.06.2017 under Sections 323/326/506/148/149/307 IPC and Section 3 of the SC/ST Act registered at Police Station Sadar Thanesar, District Kurukshetra during the pendency of the trial.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that apart from the fact that a compromise dated 11.9.2017 has been entered between the parties, the petitioners have not been attributed any injury which may attract Section 307 IPC.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, on instructions from

DSP Gurmail Singh, submits that so far as the factum of compromise

between the parties is concerned, he is not in the knowledge of such compromise. However, he is fair enough to state that the injuries which may attract Section 307 IPC have not been attributed to the petitioners.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Considering the fact that the petitioners are in custody since 9.9.2017 and no injury under Section 307 IPC is attributed to them, coupled with the fact that trial in the case may take long time, I deem it appropriate to release them on regular bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioners are admitted on regular bail, subject to furnishing of their bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court.

Needless to say that the observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as any expression on the merits of the case.

October 03, 2017
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No