

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No 35803-2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 24.4.2017**

Neha Khurana

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. S.S. Behl, Advocate for petitioner.
 Mr. J.S. Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.
 Mr. Rahul Makkar, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral)

Petitioner is seeking direction to respondents No. 1 to 4 to protect the life, liberty and property of the petitioner and her family members at the hands of respondent No. 5.

Brief facts of the case are that father of the petitioner is running a shop opposite to the shop of respondent No. 5-Pawan Dhir. Respondent No. 5 befriended with the mother of the petitioner and thereafter he also befriended with the petitioner too. Respondent No. 5 took the petitioner at his new constructed house in good faith and outraged the modesty of the petitioner. Petitioner tried to disclose the entire act to her mother but respondent No. 5 started threatening the petitioner to kill her. Respondent No. 5 also borrowed ₹ 4.00 lacs and 20 tola gold from the petitioner in the year 2013 on the pretext of putting their share in a near whole sale jewellery business to be started by him. He also transferred the shops and plot of mother of the petitioner in the name of his sisters. In this background, FIR in question was

On notice, reply on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 4 has been filed on 7.5.2016. It is further submitted that respondent No. 5 was arrested on 30.3.2015 and thereafter was released on bail. It is submitted therein that after the registration of the FIR, inquiry was conducted by ACP (Investigation), Ludhiana and it transpired that respondent No. 5 never threatened the sister and father of the petitioner with his revolver. After the inquiry, allegations levelled against respondent No. 5 were found to be incorrect. Respondent No. 5 has also deposited his revolver with Dasmesh Gun House (Annexure R-1) and the allegations of the petitioner that she was being threatened with revolver, were found to be false. Thus, there was no danger to the life and liberty of the petitioner.

In view of the said fact, no further direction is required to be issued in the present petition.

Dismissed.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

24.4.2017
preeti

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>