

203

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.36684 of 2016

Date of Decision: 26.04.2017

SIMRANJOT SINGH @ BODI

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Sandeep Godara, Advocate for
Mr. A.P.S. Rehan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Shilesh Gupta, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Pursuant to order dated 23.03.2017, a report from Additional Sessions Judge, Amritsar has been received to the effect that the factum of pendency of the present petition i.e. CRM-M No.36684 of 2016 before this Court was not disclosed in the application for bail filed before the trial Court. During pendency of the present petition before this Court, petitioner got bail from the court of Additional Sessions Judge, Amritsar vide order dated 13.02.2017 in his second attempt before the Court. The first bail application was dismissed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Amritsar on 04.08.2016.

It appears that the Police was in the knowledge of the pendency of the present petition before this Court and no such disclosure of fact was made by them before the Additional Sessions Judge, Amritsar, however the report of the Additional Sessions Judge, Amritsar is silent about the knowledge on the part of the Police in his report dated 15.04.2017.

In view of above, I deem it appropriate to direct the Additional Sessions Judge, Amritsar to re-assess the prayer for bail in the facts and circumstances of the case. If bail is found to have been obtained by concealing the fact, then necessary orders be passed for cancellation of the same. If no, connivance between the parties is found, then the order granting bail be maintained.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

April 26, 2017	(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
Atik	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No