

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.35769 of 2017
Date of decision:22.09.2017**

Rakesh Kumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Surinder Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been awarded the contract dated 21/22.08.2017 (Annexure P-1). He further submits that though the representation dated 11.09.2017 (Annexure P-3) has been addressed to the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Fazilka; Deputy Commissioner, Fazilka; D.S.P. City, Fazilka; S.H.O. Police Station City Fazilka and Inspector General of Police, Railway Police Force, District Patiala but he will be satisfied if direction is issued to respondent No.3 - Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka, District Fazilka, only for taking appropriate action on the aforesaid representation within a stipulated period.

Without going into the merits and demerits of the matter, I direct respondent No.3- Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka, District Fazilka to consider the representation dated 11.09.2017 (Annexure P-3)

served upon him by the petitioner and pass a speaking order thereon in accordance with law within a period of four days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The petition stands disposed of with the above direction.

September 22, 2017

savita

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No