

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-35723-2017 (O/M)

Date of decision : 22.9.2017

Mukesh Kumar Dhakar and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Petitioners in person with Mr. Narinder Sharma, Advocate.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioners, who are stated to be major, claim that they have married against the wishes of their parents on 29.12.2016. Petitioner No. 2 is stated to be a divorcee and it is her second marriage. The certificate of the marriage has been placed on file as Annexure-P-4. The photographs of the marriage have been placed on file as Annexure-P-5 Colly. The true translation of relevant extract of Aadhar Card, showing the date of birth of petitioner No. 1 and duly sworn affidavit of petitioner No. 2, showing her date of birth, have been placed on file as Annexures-P-1 and P-2 respectively. The copy of judgment dated 8.9.2016, passed by the learned District Judge, SAS Nagar, Mohali, dissolving the first marriage of petitioner No. 2, has been placed on file as Annexure-P-3.

It is claimed that the petitioners have moved an application dated 5.9.2017 (Annexure-P-6) before the police authorities for protecting

CRM No. M-35723-2017 (O/M)

their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to their life from the private respondents.

In these circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to Senior Superintendent of Police, Ludhiana-respondent No. 2, to consider the application of the petitioners dated 5.9.2017 (Annexure-P-6) and assess the threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioners and if it is found that there is danger to the life of the petitioners, necessary steps be taken to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners. However, this order shall not be construed as a recognition of valid marriage between the parties.

Disposed of accordingly.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

22.9.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No