

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.3647 of 2003.

Date of Decision: August 09, 2017

Vineeta Modi

.....Petitioner

versus

The State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL.

Present: None for the petitioner.

-.-

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

Since learned counsel through whom the instant writ petition was filed, has been elevated to the Bench of this Court, notice was directed to be issued to the petitioner for today. As per the office report, notice issued to the petitioner has been received back unserved for want of correct address. The petitioner is aware of pendency of this case. She is also expected to know that her learned counsel is now adorning the Bench of this Court. She has chosen not to make any alternative arrangement. May be, she is not interested to pursue this petition .

For the reasons aforementioned, we have left with no choice but to dismiss the writ petition for non prosecution.

Ordered accordingly.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

[HARI PAL VERMA]
JUDGE

August 09, 2017

mohinder

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

:

Yes/No