

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.35697 of 2017
Date of decision : 02.12.2017**

Naveen @ Shera

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Sanjay Vashisht, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J.(ORAL)

The instant petition has been preferred under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in FIR No. 423 dated 2.7.2017, registered under Section 379-A IPC (subsequently added Section 201 & 34 IPC), P.S. Bhiwani City, District Bhiwani.

The petitioner has remained in detention since 28.07.2017. He was not named in the original FIR, nor identified by the complainant/victim, although the stolen mobile phone was allegedly recovered from his possession later on. Co-accused in the case is already on bail.

In the given circumstances further detention of the petition for an indefinite period is not justified.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

However, any observation made in this order shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

02.12.2017

Sunil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No