

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

117

CRM-M No.3568 of 2017
Date of Decision: 02.02.2017

PARUL KALOTRA AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:Mr. Rohit Verma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

At the very outset, Mr. L.S. Lakhanpal, Advocate filed power of attorney on behalf of respondent No.4 and on his request respondent No.4 was permitted to talk with petitioner No.1.

The case of the petitioners is that they are major and have contracted marriage on 01.02.2017. The marriage certificate and the photographs evidencing marriage have been placed on the file. It is alleged that they apprehend danger from respondent Nos.4 and 5. To seek redressal of their grievance, they have submitted representation dated 01.02.2017 (Annexure P-5) to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur, District Gurdaspur.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Shilesh Gupta, Addl, A.G., Punjab accepts notice.

Without commenting on the age of the petitioners and validity of their marriage, directions are given to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur, District Gurdaspur, to decide the representation of the petitioners (Annexure P-5) within one month from today, keeping in view the threat perception of the petitioners.

However, it is made clear that if, any criminal case is pending against the petitioners, then this order shall not debar the respondents from proceeding in accordance with law.

The petition stands disposed of.

February 02, 2017
Atik

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Reasoned/Speaking
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No