

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-36523-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 28.08.2017

Hardeep Singh @ Deepa

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Inderjeet Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. A.S. Gill, Sr. DAG, Punjab,
assisted by ASI Jaspal Singh.

Mr. Amaninder Singh Sekhon, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.81 dated 30.07.2016, registered under Sections 498-A, 406, 494, 420, 323, 506 and 120-B read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, at P.S. Jaito, Distt. Faridkot.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that during the pendency of the present petition, the matter has been amicably settled between the parties. He further assures the Court that the settlement reached between the parties shall be honoured by the petitioner in letter and spirit.

The factum of the compromise is duly acknowledged by learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondent No.2. Furthermore, learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that in pursuance of order dated 30.11.2016, passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the

investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 30.11.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

However, in case of any breach of understanding/compromise reached between the parties, the complainant/State shall have the liberty to revive the instant petition.

28.08.2017
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No