

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-36509 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: April 06, 2017

Sanjay

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr.Akashdeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Deepak Sabharwal, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent-State.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.428 dated 18.11.2014 under Sections 107, 147, 148, 149, 186, 188, 120-B, 121, 121-A, 122, 123, 224, 225, 307, 332, 342, 353, 436 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Barwala, District Hisar and later altered by investigation as Sections 114, 147, 148, 149, 186, 188, 120-B, 121, 121-A, 122, 123, 307, 332, 342, 353, 420, 435, 333, 326-A IPC, Sections 25, 27, 30 of the Arms Act, Section 3/4/7 Explosive Substance Act, 3/4 PDPP Act and Section 16, 18, 20, 22C and 23 of the Unlawful Activity (Prevention) Act, 1967.

Notice of motion was issued. Learned State counsel appeared

and contested the petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

Police record is also available.

From the record, I find that allegation against the petitioner is that he gave commando training to other co-accused. In the present case, the petitioner has earlier been declared proclaimed offender.

Keeping in view the nature and gravity of the offence and in view of the fact that petitioner has earlier been declared proclaimed offender, I find that if the petitioner is released on bail, there is every chance that he may abscond from the process of law.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

April 06, 2017
Vgulati

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No