

117

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.3551 of 2017

Date of Decision: 17.02.2017

SURINDER SINGH AND ORS

.....Petitioners

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Randeep Singh Rana Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer made in this petition is for issuance of necessary directions to the official respondents in the context of protection of lives and personal liberties of the petitioners from being invaded by respondent Nos.4 and 5.

Petitioner No.1 is serving as a Constable in the Police Station, Malerkotla and is apprehending positive threats of false implication at the instance of respondent No.4.

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 and 3.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Shilesh Gupta, Addl. A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of official respondents. Let requisite copies of the petition be supplied to him by learned

counsel for the petitioners during the course of the day.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of calling upon reply at this stage, as no order prejudicial to the interest of respondents is being passed.

At this juncture, this Court is not in a position to appreciate the allegations of the petitioners, however respondent No.2 can be asked to have a fair look on the grievance of the petitioners for which representation dated 24.01.2017 has already been moved by them.

In view of above, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to devise his ways and means to ascertain the threat perception of the petitioners. In case, the threat perception is found to be genuine, then necessary action be taken in accordance with law.

February 17, 2017

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)

JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No