

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

1. CRM-M-35507-2017

Kamla

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

2. CRM-M-35520-2017

Ajay Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

Date of Decision: 27.09.2017

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Ms. Nupur Choudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This common order shall dispose of above noted two petitions as they arise from the same FIR.

These petitions have been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the petitioners in case FIR No. 35 dated 06.04.2017, under Sections 498-A, 323, 306 and 34 of the IPC, registered at Police Station GRP, District Sonapat.

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case. It is also contended that in fact the petitioners were not joint in mess and the marriage of the

deceased was 9 years old.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana, has appeared on behalf of respondent-State and contested the bail application. However, she is not able to dispute the arguments raised by the counsel for the petitioners.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the fact that the petitioners were not joint in mess and the trial is likely to take some time, no useful purpose would be served in keeping them in custody furthermore. Hence, at this stage, the present petitions are accepted and petitioners Kamla and Ajay Kumar are ordered to be released on regular bail on their furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

However, any opinion expressed herein is for the purpose of grant of regular bail and not an opinion on the merits of the case.

27.09.2017.

Ansari

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*