

112 + 202
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. 29048 of 2017 in/and
CRM No. M-36358 of 2016 (O/M)
Date of decision : 13.9.2017

Nakli Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr.Gobind Rana, Advocate, for,
Mr. Vipul Aggarwal, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. R.K. Makkad, DAG Haryana.
Mr. Akshay Jindal, Advocate, for respondents No. 4 to 7.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

CRM-29048-2017

Short reply on behalf of respondents No. 4 to 7 is taken on record.

Application is disposed of.

Main case

The learned State counsel has stated at bar that the police will not exert any pressure on the petitioners to enter into a compromise with private respondents. It is further stated that infact there was a dispute between the parties and the police has nothing to do with the same. An FIR has already been registered against the petitioners on the complaint of private respondents.

It being so, present petition, in which limited prayer is made to protect the petitioners and not to pressurize them to arrive at a compromise with private respondents becomes infructuous and is disposed of as such.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

13.9.2017

sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes
Whether Reportable : No