

231 + 232
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Date of decision : 4.12.2017

CRM No. M-35495 of 2017 (O/M)
Simran alias Gurjit Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CRM No. M-36123 of 2017 (O/M)
Gurbax Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Anmol Partap Singh Mann, Advocate,
for petitioners in both cases.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG Punjab.

Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate,
for respondent No. 4 in CRM-M-35495-2017 and
for respondent No. 2 in CRM-M-36123-2017.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

By this single order, I will dispose of abovenoted petitions i.e.

CRM-M-35495-2017 and CRM-M-36123-2017.

The learned counsel for petitioners has stated that in terms of agreement, the statement before the Family Court has been made. One civil suit filed by private respondent has been withdrawn. The petitioner was to transfer some property in the name of private respondent, which has been done. Therefore, the entire settlement has been acted upon. Therefore, as

CRM-M-35495-2017 and CRM-36123-2017 (O/M)

agreed and in view of compromise, Calendra No. 22/2009, dated 30.7.2009, under Section 182 IPC stands quashed.

The proclamation under Section 82 Cr.P.C. dated 23.7.2008 (Annexure-P-2) qua Gurbax Singh alongwith FIR No. 120 dated 4.10.2007, registered at Police Station Raja Sansi, Amritsar, under Section 420, read with Section 34 IPC (Annexure-P-1) also stands quashed.

In view of above, both petitions are disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

4.12.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No