

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M-35486 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: October 31, 2017

Naresh @ Bholu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. T.P.S. Teji, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Lajpat Sharma, Advocate
for the complainant.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.338 dated 22.06.2017, under Section 4 of POCSO Act, registered at Police Station Gannaur, District Sonapat.

A contention had been raised that the matter has been compromised between the parties and in terms of the said compromise, the petitioner is to get married to the prosecutrix. Considering the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case, by an order dated 27.09.2017 the petitioner was released on interim bail, in order to enable him to marry the prosecutrix.

Today, this court is informed that in terms of the said

compromise, the petitioner and the prosecutrix have performed the marriage on 08.10.2017 and a photocopy of the marriage certificate is also produced on record.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the facts that the petitioner and the prosecutrix have compromised the matter and pursuant thereto also performed the marriage on 08.10.2017 and that a petition for quashing of the instant FIR on the basis of compromise is already pending, no useful purpose would be served in sending the petitioner behind the custody. At this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed and the order dated 27.09.2017 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

October 31, 2017
vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No