

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-36313-2016

Date of decision: February 15, 2017.

Dilraj Singh

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Punjab and others

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri R.S. Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri Daljeet Virk, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Shri Umesh Kumar Kanwar, Advocate for respondent No.2 to 5

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Heard learned Counsel for the rival parties. Quashing of FIR has been sought by way of compounding/compromise in a case of snatching of property. Learned Counsel for the State submits that two more case of similar nature at two different places of the State have also been reported against the petitioner. By allowing compounding/compromise, this Court cannot encourage the petitioner to continue to commit offences of snatching. In that view of the matter, prayer for compromise is rejected.

Dismissed.

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

February 15, 2017.

kadyan

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No