

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-36276-2016

Date of Decision: 14.03.2017

Bhajan Ram Chopra

.....Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Gulzar Mohd. Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Mehta, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

None for the complainant.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

After the petitioner had been admitted to interim bail vide an order dated 07.10.2016, it had been submitted on behalf of the complainant on 01.12.2016, that the son of the deceased had caused injuries and had threatened the complainant and that a complaint had been filed in that regard.

Learned counsel for the complainant had countered the aforesaid to the effect that the argument was made only as a pressure tactic and actually the injury received was a minor one in an accident.

The matter had thereafter been simply adjourned till today.

Today, learned counsel for the State, on instructions from the police official who is present in Court to assist him, submits that the said complaint has been found to be false and as regards the petitioner, he having

joined investigation, presently his custodial interrogation is not required.

Consequently, the order dated 07.10.2016 is made absolute on the same terms and conditions.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

March 14, 2017
nitin

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No.