

248

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-35416 of 2017

Date of decision: November 01, 2017

Raj Younas and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Rimple Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramandeep Singh Sandhu, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Suresh Kumar Arya, Advocate
for the complainant.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

The petitioners have filed the present petition under section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No. 43, dated 08.04.2017 under sections 323, 324, 34 IPC and Section 326 IPC added later on, registered at Police Station Dhariwal, District Gurdaspur, in view of compromise.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Learned counsel appearing for complainant submits that compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

This Court had made order dated 20.09.2017 asking for recording of statement in order to find out the correctness and genuineness of the compromise said to have been arrived at between the parties.

Accordingly, statements have been recorded by the trial Court and reports have been received by this Court. Looking to the reports it is seen that the compromise is voluntary and genuine. I have seen the offences

registered against the petitioners. Looking to the nature of the offence, I think the compromise/compounding should be allowed.

In view of the above, I make the following order:

ORDER

- (i) CRL. MISC. NO.M-35416 OF 2017 is allowed.
- (ii) The FIR No. 43, dated 08.04.2017 under sections 323, 324, 34 IPC and Section 326 IPC added later on, registered at Police Station Dhariwal, District Gurdaspur, is quashed along with all consequential proceedings, qua the petitioners.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

November 01, 2017
anju rani

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No