

204

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-36234 of 2016****Date of decision: March 21, 2017**

Om Pati and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**Present:** Mr. K.S. Lakhanpal, Advocate the petitioners.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.60 dated 08.02.2016, under Sections 323, 506, 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') (Section 326-B IPC added later on), registered at Police Station City Rohtak, District Rohtak, the petitioners seek anticipatory bail. This Court had made an order dated 12.10.2016 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Assistant Sub Inspector Kaptan Singh, states that the petitioners have joined investigation and custody is not required.

In that view of the matter, interim order dated 12.10.2016 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioners, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE****March 21, 2017**

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No