

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-35383 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 07.12.2017

Sonu @ Sonu Ram

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Lajpat Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Anju Arora, Addl. A.G., Punjab
assisted by ASI Kehar Singh.

Mr. Sanjeev Majra, Advocate for the complainant.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

CRM-33010-2017

Allowed as prayed for, subject to all just exceptions.

Main Case

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.201 dated 21.08.2017, registered under Sections 354, 506, 452, 365, 411 read with Section 34 of IPC, at Police Station Patran, District Patiala.

It is contended that in pursuance of order dated 06.10.2017, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel, on instructions submits that though the petitioner has joined the investigation, however, he is involved in another FIR No.216 dated 31.08.2014, registered under

Section 302 of IPC, at Police Station Patran, District Patiala. Learned State counsel further informs that the petitioner has repeatedly harassing and intimidating the complainant after he was admitted to interim bail.

Learned counsel for the complainant opposes the prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

Heard.

The petitioner has been specifically named by the complainant, Ruby daughter of Gurmail Singh that he along with co-accused entered the house of the complainant and tried to drag her out while she was watching television in her room and her family members were having dinner at first floor. On her raising alarm, her uncle Jagmail Singh and others reached on the spot, on seeing them, the assailants fled in the car after criminally intimidating her. The Court feels that considering the specific allegation and the antecedents of the petitioner, it is a fit case where custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required to unearth the truth. The prayer made in the present petition is declined.

Dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

07.12.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No