

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3534 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 13.02.2017

Amir

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Vipul Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present 6th petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in case FIR No.373, dated 17.11.2012, registered under Sections 148, 323, 325 and 302 read with Sections 34 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'IPC'), at Police Station Hathin, District Palwal.

It is contended that the complainant stands examined. The co-accused Abid and Abas have already been admitted to regular bail by this Court. The petitioner is in custody since November, 2012.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application and states that out of total 24 PWs, 11 PWs have

been examined so far.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

This is the 6th bail application. The earlier two petitions bearing No.CRM-M-40881-2015 and CRM-M-21597-2016 were dismissed by passing speaking orders. The order passed in CRM-M-21597-2016 was assailed by the petitioner by filing SLP which was also dismissed by Hon'ble the apex Court. Keeping in view the above, no case for bail is made out.

Dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 17.11.2012 and as many as 13 witnesses remain to be examined, the trial Court is directed to conclude the trial expeditiously preferably within four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

13.02.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No