

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-36152-2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 10.10.2017

BABITA RANI

... Petitioner

VS.

STATE OF U.T, CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sharma, Additional Public Prosecutor,
for U.T., Chandigarh-respondents

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

KULDIP SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor, U.T., Chandigarh has
stated at bar that after examining the CD, the State is unable to trace out the
vehicle as shown in the said CD.

In this case, an FIR No. 48 dated 17.07.2016 under Section
279/337/304-A IPC registered at Police Station, Sector 49, Chandigarh has
already been registered.

The State is directed to take the investigation of the said case to
the logical conclusion and submit a final report in terms of the investigation
before the concerned Illaqa Magistrate.

In view of the above, present petition stands disposed of.

October 10, 2017

Suresh Kumar

**[KULDIP SINGH]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned :

✓
Yes / No

Whether Reportable :

✓
Yes / No