

CRM-M-36098 of 2016**Date of decision:18.01.2017****Rani Manju Sidhu**

... Petitioner

VS.

State of Haryana & Ors.

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

* * *

Present : Mr. S. S. Duhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J.(ORAL)

Through the present petition, the petitioner seeks protection of her life and liberty as she apprehends danger to the same at the hands of respondents No.5 and 6.

In response to the petition, an affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, City Jind has been filed which reveals that through letter dated 10.11.2016 the Superintendent of Police, Jind has directed the DSP, Headquarter Jind and SHO, Police Station Sadar Jind to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioner is protected in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in spite of the above communication, till date no steps have been taken to ensure the protection of the petitioner's life and liberty.

In response learned State counsel submits that after the passing

CRM-M-36098 of 2016 :2:

of the above-referred communication, no complaint has been received from the petitioner by the DSP, Headquarter Jind or the SHO, Police Station Sadar Jind with regard to any threat to her life and liberty.

After considering the entire gamut of facts, I consider it just and proper to direct the petitioner to forthwith seek appointment with and meet the DSP, Headquarter Jind and bring to his notice in writing, the reasons why she apprehends threat to her life and liberty. If any such threat perception is shared by the petitioner with the DSP, Headquarter Jind, he is directed to forthwith consider the same and if required, take remedial steps to ensure the protection of the petitioner's life and liberty.

The petition stands disposed of with the above observations.

**(Deepak Sibal)
Judge**

January 18, 2017
rimpal

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>