

**211 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.3522 of 2017
Date of Decision: 07.03.2017**

Sandeep Singh @ Panchi

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Anupam Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Yadav, AAG, Punjab
for the respondent-State.

DEEPAK SIBAL J. (ORAL)

Through the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks regular bail in FIR No.138, dated 09.09.2016, registered under Sections 379-B and 411 IPC, at Police Station Beas, District Amritsar Rural.

Seeking regular bail for the petitioner, learned counsel submits that the petitioner has been in custody since 14.09.2016; since the investigation is complete, the petitioner is no longer required for further questioning by the investigating agency; the trial is not likely to conclude in the near future as till date only charges have been framed; the petitioner has been implicated only on the disclosure statement of the co-accused which is not corroborated by any other evidence.

Learned State counsel opposes the grant of regular bail on the ground that the petitioner has formed a gang for snatching of motor-cycles.

Since as on date in the trial only charges have been framed, it is likely to take a long time to conclude; the petitioner has been in custody for about 06 months and since investigation is over, he is not needed for further questioning by the investigating agency; the petitioner has been implicated only on the disclosure statement of the co-accused which is not corroborated by any other evidence.

Considering the above-referred submissions raised on behalf of learned counsel for the parties, in my opinion, the present petition is a fit case where the petitioner should be admitted to regular bail.

Bail to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Amritsar.

Nothing observed herein-above shall be considered to be an expression of opinion by this Court on the merits of the case.

If the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal activity/misusing the concession of bail granted to him, it will be open to the State to move an appropriate application before the Competent Court/this Court for cancellation of the granted bail to the petitioner through this order.

March 07, 2017

rittu

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No