

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM- M- 35943 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 31.01.2017

Ram Mehar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Harish Mehla, Advocate for
Mr. Arjun Sheoran, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Harsh Aggarwal, Advocate and
Mr. Ashish Chauhan, Advocate for the complainant.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought regular bail in FIR No.184 dated 18.07.2012, registered under Sections 147, 148, 452, 302, 307, 436, 323, 332, 353, 427, 114 read with Section 149 of IPC, at Police Station Manesar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has moved a written request for adjournment with no objection from learned counsel for the complainant stating therein that owing to some personal difficulty, he may not be able to address arguments.

The learned State counsel, on instructions states that the trial is at the fag end. The arguments of prosecution stands concluded and the case is fixed for defence arguments.

In the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case and as the matter was adjourned on the last date at the request made by learned counsel for the petitioners, prayer for adjournment is declined.

Keeping in view the fact that the trial is at the fag end, this Court feels that no case for bail is made out at this stage.

Dismissed.

31.01.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No