

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No.M-3496 of 2017

Date of Decision: February 16, 2017

Sakir

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. I.S. Saggu, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that pursuant to the order dated 03.02.2017, the petitioner has joined investigation and his custody is not required presently. However, there are three other cases of similar nature registered against him.

Be that as it may, in view of the fact that the petitioner was not apprehended at the spot and was identified by a secret informer, without commenting upon the actual merits of the case on either side, the order dated 03.02.2017, granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute on the same terms and conditions.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

February 16, 2017

vcgarg

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: no